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Abstract
Cancer is a common cause of mortality in the world. For cancer treatment modalities such as chemotherapy, photothermal therapy and immunotherapy, the concentration of therapeutic agents in tumor tissue is the key factor which determines therapeutic efficiency. In view of this, developing targeted drug delivery systems are of great significance in selectively delivering drugs to tumor regions. Various types of nanomaterials have been widely used as drug carriers. However, the low tumor-targeting ability of nanomaterials limits their clinical application. It is difficult for nanomaterials to penetrate the tumor tissue through passive diffusion due to the elevated tumoral interstitial fluid pressure. As a biological carrier, bacteria can specifically colonize and proliferate inside tumors and inhibit tumor growth, making it an ideal candidate as delivery vehicles. In addition, synthetic biology techniques have been applied to enable bacteria to controllably express various functional proteins and achieve targeted delivery of therapeutic agents. Nanobiohybrids constructed by the combination of bacteria and nanomaterials have an abundance of advantages, including tumor targeting ability, genetic modifiability, programmed product synthesis, and multimodal therapy. Nowadays, many different types of bacteria-based nanobiohybrids have been used in multiple targeted tumor therapies. In this review, firstly we summarized the development of nanomaterial-mediated cancer therapy. The mechanism and advantages of the bacteria in tumor therapy are described. Especially, we will focus on introducing different therapeutic strategies of nanobiohybrid systems which combine bacteria with nanomaterials in cancer therapy. It is demonstrated that the bacteria-based nanobiohybrids have the potential to provide a targeted and effective approach for cancer treatment.
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Introduction
Cancer has emerged as one of the main causes of death in the world and poses a serious threat to human health. Although conventional therapies, including surgery and radiation, remain as the standard treatment for cancer, the limitations of these treatment options are becoming increasingly apparent [1]. Surgery is an effective treatment method for tumors, but postoperative metastasis and recurrence renders tumor treatment more difficult. The therapeutic effect of radiotherapy is limited by the hypoxic and necrotic region of the tumor. On the other hand, many promising therapeutic methods such as drug therapy, photothermal therapy, photodynamic therapy and immunotherapy are developing rapidly. All of these treatments rely on therapeutic agent such as chemotherapeutic agents, photosensitizers, and immunoadjuvants to reach the tumor region and kill tumor cells through cytotoxicity or immune activation. However, the acidic, hypoxic and hypo-vascular tumor microenvironment affects the delivery of various therapeutic agents, resulting in treatment resistance of tumor cells. Systemic injection of therapeutic agents cannot specifically act on tumor cells and may cause toxic effects on normal tissues. Therefore, many studies focus to improve the targeted delivery of therapeutic agents in order to enhance the tumor therapeutic effect and reduce toxic effects.

In the past decade, the rapid development of nanomaterials has become a powerful thrust for the advancement of tumor treatment. Nanomaterials such as liposomes, metal particles, polymers and micelles are widely used as targeted delivery carriers of therapeutic agents and play important roles in the treatment of tumors. Tumors are more permeable to nanoparticles (NPs) than normal tissue due to
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The efficiency of cancer diagnosis and treatment [10]. Therefore, and patterns of bacterial treatment and improve the efficiency of cancer diagnosis and treatment [10]. Therefore, nanomaterials were able to reach the tumor compartment due to the poor permeation and retention in the tumor site, where the pathological microenvironment is characterized by high interstitial fluid pressures, acidity, hypoxia and immunosuppression [4–6]. Therefore, it is still an important unmet requirement to effectively improve the tumor targeting of nanomaterials.

Recently, biological carriers such as cells, bacteria and viruses have become the focus of tumor targeted therapy due to their unique characteristics of active targeting. Environmental sensing and autonomous propulsion abilities are the basis for biological vectors to actively target tumor regions, which are not possessed by nanoparticles. Among them, bacteria-mediated tumor therapy is developed as a potential biologic therapy against tumors. The unique tumor microenvironment is an ideal breeding site for some facultative and obligate anaerobic bacteria [7]. Bacteria such as Bifidobacterium, Clostridium, Salmonella typhimurium (S. typhimurium) and Escherichia coli (E. coli) preferentially proliferate in the hypoxic, eutrophic and immunosuppressive tumor tissue. Bacteria activate the suppressed immune function of the tumor microenvironment, and induce a strong immune response to inhibit tumor growth [8]. With the development of genetic engineering and synthetic biological technology, genetically engineered bacteria can achieve targeted delivery of therapeutic drugs by expressing special therapeutic proteins, such as cytotoxic drugs, antibodies, antigens, enzymes and cytokines [9]. As a promising antitumor therapeutic carrier, bacteria can fill the gap in the treatment of hypoxia and necrotic areas of tumors. However, bacterial therapy is limited by dose dependency toxicity in clinical trials. In order to ensure minimal toxicity and side effects, low bacterial injection volume is used, which leads to limited treatment effects. It is difficult to achieve satisfactory tumor therapeutic effects by relying on bacteria alone.

The concept of integrating bacteria with nanomaterials offers an alternative combination therapy for cancer. Many studies have demonstrated that the integration of functional nanomaterials with living bacteria for nanobiohybrid systems can improve the targeted delivery efficiency of therapeutic agents and achieve synergistic therapeutic effects. Bacteria not only increases the aggregation of nanomaterials in tumor sites, but can also be used as bioreactors to provide specific substrates for nanocatalytic therapy. Furthermore, the combination with nanomaterials can increase the means and patterns of bacterial treatment and improve the efficiency of cancer diagnosis and treatment [10]. Therefore, nanobiohybrids that combine bacterial therapy with nanotechnology can better realize treatment potential and reduce their respective limitations, which may result in new targeted cancer therapy modality. In this review, we summarized the development of nanomaterial-based therapy. The mechanism, advantages and research progress of bacteria-mediated tumor therapy were also described. Furthermore, different therapeutic strategies for nanobiohybrids based on bacteria and nanomaterials in cancer treatment were also discussed. Nanobiohybrids could improve the targeting of nanomaterials and enhance the nanocatalytic reaction to achieve increasingly efficient cancer therapy.

Nanomaterial-mediated therapeutic agent delivery

Nanomaterials can have both the ability to diagnose and treat tumors based on their inherent physical and chemical properties or the ability to load therapeutic agents or contrast agents. The rapid development of nanotechnology has enabled the integration of various therapeutic agents into NPs, such as polymeric systems, micelles, silica-based porous materials, and liposomes [11].

Nanomaterials are widely used in the treatment of tumor chemotherapy because of their high drug loading capacity, facile preparation and biological safety. Organic NPs are the most popular nanocarriers for drug delivery because of their broad range of favorable properties such as biocompatibility, biodegradability and flexibility. The first nanodelivery system approved for cancer therapy was a liposome about 100 nm in diameter that encapsulated doxorubicin (DOX). Nanomaterials passively accumulated in the tumor via the EPR effect to improve the pharmacokinetic and biological distribution of the encapsulated drug. Compared with free drugs, drug-loaded NPs demonstrated higher tumoral accumulation and lower systemic toxicity. Other benefits of nanomedicine include specific binding of NPs with cancer cells, prolonged drug circulation, and controlled release kinetics [12].

Many NPs which are currently under development focus on improving local drug concentration and the pharmacokinetics of anti-tumor drugs through targeted modifications [13, 14]. One way to accomplish this is to have ligands attached to their surfaces, enabling them to specifically adhere to tumor cells through ligand-receptor interactions. The types of targeting molecules used to modify NPs include proteins, antibodies, peptides, and aptamers [15, 16]. Targeted ligand modification increases the chance that NPs remain in the tumor membrane and induce NPs to enter the tumoral cell membrane [17]. Chen et al. modified the surface of drug-loaded NPs with the tumor targeting ligand folic acid. Modified NPs could target the folic acid receptor on the tumor cell membrane and improve the targeted delivery efficiency of drugs [18]. The dense stromal tissue of tumor disrupts the normal vascular structure, leading to increased intratumoral fluid pressure and poor drug diffusion [19]. Therefore, breaking through tumor stroma can also increase...
the concentration of nanomaterials in the tumor. According to the characteristics of stromal hyperplasia in pancreatic cancer, Chen et al. designed drug-carrying NPs that could be targeted to dissolve the tumor matrix. The NPs could destroy the central stroma of tumors and improve tumor penetration and intratumoral retention of chemotherapeutic drugs. At the same time, the integrity of the external stroma of tumors was preserved to inhibit tumor metastasis [20].

On the other hand, nanomaterials also play an important role in some recent rapidly developing cancer treatment strategies, such as PTT and immunotherapy, to improve the specificity and safety of these treatment strategies (Figure 1) [21]. PTT mainly converts the photon energy of incident light into heat energy through photosensitizers, which increases the temperature of surrounding tissues and cells, resulting in protein degeneration and cell lysis [22]. At present, various nanomaterials have been used to deliver photosensitizers such as indocyanine green, porphyrin and polypyrrole to enhance PTT [23]. Du et al. developed a multifunctional nanomicelle (DBCOZnPc-LP) based on the phthalocyanine compound, and modified it with dibenzyl cyclooctyne to improve tumor targeting. The nanomicelle can also be used for photothermal/photoacoustic synergistic therapy of tumors under the guidance of photoacoustic imaging. The photothermal conversion efficiency of the nanomicelle was 44.39%. The results of tumor treatment showed that synergistic phototherapy had obvious tumor inhibition even if irradiated with a lower power laser (0.1 W/cm²) [24]. In addition to effective treatment of primary cancer, PTT mediated by nanomaterials could also activate the immune response to treat distant invasive tumors and tumor metastases. Li et al. loaded indocyanine green into hollow gold nanospheres and performed further modifications with FAL peptides to form a nanosystem to achieve PTT/PDT. Endoplasmic reticulum-targeted

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1** Nanomaterial-mediated cancer therapy. (A) Schematic diagram of various cancer treatment patterns mediated by nanomaterials, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, photothermal therapy, photodynamic therapy and gene therapy. (B) The advantages and disadvantages of nanomaterials in cancer therapy. The disadvantages of nanomaterials need to be improved to promote the clinical transformation of nanomaterials.
PTT/PDT induced endoplasmic reticulum stress and calcitulin exposure. Immunogenic cell death induced by photothermal therapy led to the maturation of 33.1% dendritic cells in the tumor and activated their antigen presenting function. After nanomaterial-mediated PTT/PDT, the level of IL-6 was increased and the number of Regulatory T (Treg) cells was reduced to about 10%, suggesting that the tumor immune microenvironment was improved by reducing immunosuppressive cells [25].

In recent years, nanomaterials were also widely used in the field of tumor immunotherapy. First of all, PTT mediated by nanomaterials could promote the release of tumor-associated antigens and activate the immune response of tumors and the body. In addition, many nanomaterials can be used as carriers for tumor vaccine adjuvants to optimize tumor immunotherapy by enhancing antigen delivery and activating immune cells. In order to regulate the phenotype of tumor-associated macrophages, Rodell et al. constructed β-cyclodextrin NPs loaded with R848 (CDNP-R848). R848 is an agonist of Toll-like receptors TLR7 and TLR8, which could polarize macrophages into the M1 phenotype. The results showed that CDNP-R848 could target tumor-associated macrophages, promote the polarization of M1 macrophages and significantly increase the level of IL12 in the tumor microenvironment. Moreover, CDNP-R848 could synergize with anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) therapy to inhibit tumor recurrence [26].

The role of bacteria in cancer therapy

The drug delivery system based on nanomaterials is still plagued by the problem of low targeted delivery efficiency. Only about 1% of intravenously injected nanoparticles were able to finally reach the tumor site, and the low targeted delivery efficiency limits the effect of tumor treatment. In recent years, a large number of research on biological vectors have provided new ideas for the targeted delivery of therapeutic agents. In fact, bacteria have been used for therapeutic applications for more than 100 years. Coley utilized bacteria to treat tumors for the first time in the 1890s. In his study, the tumor of a patient with osteosarcoma shrunk significantly after the injection of bacillus [27]. The mechanism of bacteria-mediated tumor therapy is to inhibit tumor growth by activating the immune system. Bacteria have been shown to recruit inflammatory cells into the tumor microenvironment, such as granulocytes and natural killer (NK) cells, both of which are necessary for anti-tumor response [28]. Moreover, bacteria not only induced CD4+ T cells in the tumor microenvironment to produce IFN-γ, but also activated cytotoxic CD8+ T cells to inhibit tumor growth [29].

In recent years, it has been found that bacteria possessed unique tumor treatment abilities. The bacteria could actively target the tumor region, especially promoting colonization in hypoxic and necrotic regions. Additionally, bacteria also displayed characteristics of simple genetic engineering, which can be programmed to express anti-tumor drugs and achieve targeted therapy for tumors.

Tumor targeting of bacteria

Previous studies have demonstrated that most bacteria could specifically accumulate in tumor sites. Bacteria could reach a favorable microenvironment through flagellum motion [30]. The unique microenvironment of solid tumors provides a suitable habitat for obligate and facultative anaerobic bacteria. Firstly, since obligate anaerobic bacteria do not need oxygen to survive, they migrate towards hypoxic areas of the tumor. This motility enables them to overcome diffusion resistance, which is beneficial for invading the hypoxic regions of solid tumors. For example, *Clostridium* and *Bifidobacterium* were located in hypoxic tumor areas. Secondly, the nutrient-rich tumor microenvironment is very attractive to bacteria [31]. Studies have shown that nutrient-rich metabolites produced by tumor cells which accumulated in the tumor microenvironment were uniquely attractive to bacteria [3]. Facultative anaerobic bacteria such as *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* could sense the favorable, nutrient-rich environment through chemoreceptors and accumulated in both the core and peripheral areas of tumors [7]. In addition, because tumors displayed an immunosuppressive environment, the bacteria colonized in the tumor will not be cleared by macrophages and neutrophils. In contrast, bacteria that were initially passed to the circulatory system and other major organs were quickly cleared by the immune system. Bacteria displayed chemotaxis toward hypoxic, nutrient-rich and immunosuppressive tumor microenvironments that allowed them to target specific tumor areas. Compared with normal tissue, tumors displayed a chaotic vascular system and larger capillary spacing, which impedes the delivery of therapeutic molecules. With its powerful motor properties, bacteria could absorb nutrients from the surrounding environment, pass through blood vessels and penetrate into tumor tissues. After intravenous injection of bacteria into tumor-bearing mice, Chen et al. conducted a quantitative analysis on the number of bacteria in the tumor and main organs. On the third day after the injection, the number of bacteria in the tumor was significantly higher than that in other major organs. The number of bacteria in the tumor was 35178 times that of the heart, 222 times that of the liver, 1267 times that of the spleen, and 646 times that of the lungs. Depending on the tumor targeting and autonomous propelling of bacteria, bacteria exhibited great potential in accurately delivering therapeutic materials besides enhancing the therapeutic effects on tumors.

Genetic modifiability of bacteria

In addition to tumor targeting, another important advantage of bacteria is the ease of gene manipulation, which can achieve the targeted delivery of therapeutic drugs by self-synthesis. Using the tools and methods of synthetic biology, bacteria can be transformed into a multifunctional platform that delivers functional payloads based on actual therapeutic needs (Figure 2).

In common cases, the bacteria are transformed by a plasmid with a target gene, where the plasmid expressed therapeutic proteins in bacteria and enhanced the antitumor...
activity of bacteria. The most direct approach is to construct bacteria that produces cytotoxic drugs through constitutive expression. Quintero et al. used attenuated strains of *Salmonella* to highly express *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A (ToxA) chimeric with tumor growth factor alpha (TGFα). The strain showed significant antitumor activity against EGFR-positive tumor cells [32]. Under this strategy, the bacteria continued to express therapeutic proteins, which requires the bacteria to target the tumor area with sufficient specificity. However, bacteria that have recently entered the body can still be located in other normal organs such as the spleen, liver and lungs for a short time. Bacteria that consistently expressed cytotoxic drugs may have toxic side effects on normal healthy tissue. Ideally, bacteria should be controlled to express therapeutic genes at specific times and locations. The precise regulation of bacterial gene expression can increase drug concentration in tumor tissues and reduce systemic toxicity [33]. Designing an expression system that can respond to endogenous or exogenous stimuli can help engineered bacteria to treat tumors more accurately. The promoters in response to external stimuli are commonly used to control specific induction of bacterial effector genes. For example, hypoxia-inducible promoters have been designed to express anticancer proteins, peptides or gene fragments in bacteria, enabling the bacterial drug delivery system to localize the specific delivery of drugs to tumors. Instead of designing promoters based on characteristics of the tumor microenvironment, Din et al. focused on quorum-sensing circuits, which allowed bacteria to communicate with each other and regulated gene expression in response to changes in population density [34]. This method could simultaneously cause the bacteria that arrived at the population threshold to lyse and release the anti-tumor drugs. The expression and cleavage of bacteria were regulated by the feedback of bacterial population density, which can not only achieve specific controllable release, but also prevented the excessive growth of bacteria in the body. Bacteria are not only used for on-site secretion of cytotoxic drugs but can also be extended for the production of immunomodulation proteins, so as to further stimulate anti-tumor immunity. In tumor immunotherapy, tumor-targeting bacteria could also be used to express TNF-α, IFN-γ, heterologous flagellin [9] and even immune checkpoint inhibitors [35]. Chowdhury et al. designed an *Escherichia coli* that could express CD47 single-chain antibody based on synchronous lysis circuits. The bacteria could enhance the antigen expression ability of tumor-related macrophages, improve the infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the tumor microenvironment, and trigger the immune memory effect. The tumor was rapidly cleared within 10 days after bacterial injection, while tumor metastasis and recurrence were
inhibited. Tumor-bearing mice which were treated with the bacteria lived for more than 90 days. Based on the genetic modifiability of bacteria, synthetic biological tools could be used to transform bacteria into multi-functional robots. Therefore, bacteria can not only target tumor regions, but also trigger the expression and release of different effector proteins under the regulation of external signals, which endows bacteria with great potential to be combined with different anti-tumor therapies Table 1.

**Advances in the combination of bacteria and nanomaterials in cancer therapy**

Nanomaterials have the potential to improve the solubility of drugs, prolong circulation half-life, optimize drug pharmacokinetics and increase accumulation in tumors. However, the penetration of nanomaterials into tumor tissue is limited. Nanomaterial encountered diffusion limitations in the extracellular matrix and accumulated in the periphery of the tumor rather than in the hypoxic core of the tumor. Most bacteria colonize the hypoxic areas of tumors, and the nanohybrid systems constructed by bacteria and nanomaterials could deliver therapeutic agents to areas that were difficult to penetrate with conventional treatments (Figure 3) [36].

**Chemotherapy**

**Salmonella-based nanohybrid for chemotherapy**

*Salmonella* is the most widely used anaerobic bacterium strain in cancer therapy. Studies suggest that *Salmonella* can enter nutrient-rich tumor regions by the regulation of specific chemical receptors expressed on its surface [37]. First, the aspartic acid receptors distributed on the bacterial surface initiate the migration of *Salmonella* to tumors. Then the serine receptor controls bacterial penetration into the tumor matrix, while the ribose/galactose receptor directs *Salmonella* into necrotic areas. Therefore, *Salmonella* is considered to be an ideal candidate for bacterial-mediated tumor therapy due to its excellent tumor targeting, inherent toxicity and rapid migration speed [38].

In order to enhance the antitumor ability, Zoaby et al. explored the potential of *Salmonella* combined with nanodrugs to treat tumors. Nanoliposomes containing DOX were loaded onto *Salmonella*. The results suggested that *Salmonella* loaded with DOX nanoliposomes migrated faster to the tumor microenvironment with low pH and high glucose compared with normal tissues [39]. Suh et al. connected the *Salmonella* VNP20009 strain with polymer NPs to construct a nanobiohybrid system. The study found that bacteria-based carrier could significantly increase the concentration of NPs in the tumor tissues up to 100-fold [40]. They showed that nanobiohybrid systems comprised of drug-loaded NPs and bacteria could significantly improve the delivery efficiency of NPs to tumor sites. Moreover, binding with NPs does not affect intratumoral transport performance of the bacteria [41].

**Escherichia coli-based nanohybrids for chemotherapy**

*E. coli* is a facultative anaerobic bacterium which has received more and more attention in the field of cancer treatment. As a facultative anaerobe, *E. coli* can be targeted to metastatic and non-necrotic tumor areas [42]. The advantage of *Escherichia coli* is that most of the strains are not pathogenic and can efficiently express various antitumor proteins [43]. Several attempts have been made to introduce recombinant proteins into solid tumors via *E. coli* [44]. Jiang et al. engineered *E. coli* strain MG1655 to produce cytolytic A (ClyA) and combined it with radiation therapy to treat primary and metastatic tumors. The results described that *E. coli*-expressed ClyA could significantly improve the efficacy of radiation therapy [42].

An uncontrolled and constitutive expression of recombinant protein leads to slow proliferation and heavy metabolic loading of bacteria [33]. Studies have begun to use physical stimulation such as light, heat and radiation to regulate the expression of bacterial recombinant proteins. Fan et al. designed thermally sensitive programmable bacteria (TPB) that relied on the photothermal effect of nanoparticles [45]. TPB was transformed with plasmids expressing therapeutic protein TNF-α and was decorated with gold nanoparticles. After entering the circulation, the bacteria colonized the tumor area and displayed proliferation. The tumor area was then irradiated with near-infrared light. The heat generated by gold nanoparticles induced expression of the toxic protein TNF-α in situ to achieve controlled targeted tumor therapy.

**Photothermal therapy (PTT)**

As an effective non-invasive treatment, PTT could be used to treat various types of cancer. The ideal photothermal therapy reagent (PTA) should have high photothermal conversion efficiency and good accumulation in tumors. The relatively low accumulation efficiency of PTAs in tumors is one of the main challenges faced by PTT. In order to improve accumulation efficiency of PTAs in tumors, Chen et al. constructed a nanobiohybrid system by coating *Salmonella* VNP20009 with polydopamine (pDA) molecules for tumor targeted photothermal therapy [46]. Coated NPs had no side effect on *Salmonella* activity. Interestingly, the study found that, compared to tumors without photothermal therapy, the number of *Salmonella* colonies in tumors increased by one order of magnitude in the PTT group. The tumor cell lysis caused by pDA-mediated PTT can release nutrients to attract *Salmonella*, thus increasing the concentration of *Salmonella* in the tumor site. Chen et al. developed a therapeutic strategy for large solid tumors based on the effect of increased...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Bacteria Types</th>
<th>Engineering Method</th>
<th>Loaded Nanoparticles</th>
<th>Loading Strategy</th>
<th>Tumor Types</th>
<th>Treatment Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug delivery</td>
<td>[54]</td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cross-linked β-cyclodextrin-PEI600 (CP) nanoparticle</td>
<td>Electrostatic interaction</td>
<td>Melanoma tumor B16 cells</td>
<td>Oral administration; delivery of DNA vaccine encoding autologous VEGFR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug delivery</td>
<td>[39]</td>
<td><em>Salmonella Enterica serovar Typhimurium LT2</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DOX-loaded liposome</td>
<td>Incubation and electroporation</td>
<td>Breast cancer 4T1 cells</td>
<td>Nanoparticle-loaded bacteria incubate with cells in vitro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug delivery</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> MG1655</td>
<td>Transformed with plasmids expressing therapeutic protein TNF-α</td>
<td>Biomineralized gold nanoparticles</td>
<td>Spontaneous redox reaction</td>
<td>Breast cancer 4T1 cells</td>
<td>Oral administration; bacterial expressing therapeutic proteins TNF-α and photothermal therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug delivery</td>
<td>[55]</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> Nissle 1917</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dox-loaded amphiphilic copolymers</td>
<td>Acid-labile linkers immobilization</td>
<td>Breast cancer 4T1 cells</td>
<td>Phosphate-sensitive drug release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug delivery</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td><em>Salmonella VNP20009</em></td>
<td>Deletion of Purl gene and msbB gene</td>
<td>PLGA nanoparticles</td>
<td>streptavidin biotin interaction</td>
<td>Breast cancer 4T1 cells</td>
<td>Nanoparticle distribution in solid tumors was enhanced by up to 100-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photothermal therapy</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td><em>Salmonella VNP20009</em></td>
<td>Purl gene and msbB gene have been deleted</td>
<td>Melanin-like polydopamine</td>
<td>Dopamine oxidation and self-polymerization</td>
<td>Melanoma tumor B16 cells</td>
<td>Intravenous injection; VNP20009-mediated biotherapy and pDA-based photothermal therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photothermal therapy</td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td><em>Salmonella Typhimurium YB1</em></td>
<td>The Asd gene was replaced with a construct controlled by hypoxic promoters</td>
<td>Indocyanine green-loaded nanoparticles</td>
<td>Amide bond covalent bonding</td>
<td>Melanoma tumor B16 cells</td>
<td>Intravenous injection; Combination of Biotherapy and secondary-irradiation photothermal Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photodynamic therapy</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> BL21</td>
<td>A polypeptide exotoxin A-expressing plasmid was installed</td>
<td>Cationic conjugated polyelectrolyte (CP)</td>
<td>Electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions</td>
<td>Renal carcinoma A498 cells</td>
<td>The controlled and sustained toxin release; CP coated bacteria as the trigger source to sensitize ROS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocatalytic therapy</td>
<td>[53]</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> MG1655</td>
<td>Transformed with plasmids expressing E. coli carrying nitric oxide (NO) generation enzymes</td>
<td>Carbon nitride (C₃N₄)</td>
<td>Electrostatic interaction</td>
<td>Breast cancer 4T1 cells</td>
<td>Optically-controlled bacterial metabolite therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocatalytic therapy</td>
<td>[52]</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em> MG1655</td>
<td>Transformed with plasmids expressing NDH-2 enzyme overexpression</td>
<td>Magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles</td>
<td>Covalent bond connection</td>
<td>Colorectal cancer CT26 cells</td>
<td>Magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles catalyze Fenton-like reaction, which converts H₂O₂ produced by bacteria to induce toxic hydroxyl radicals for cancer therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bacterial aggregation via PTT [47]. This nanobiohybrid system was prepared by binding the attenuated *Salmonella* strain YB-1 to PTA-loaded nanoparticles via amide bonds. Firstly, the photothermal effect was used to kill a small number of tumor cells and attract a large number of nanobiohybrids to infiltrate and accumulate in the whole tumor. Then, near-infrared (NIR) laser irradiation was used to induce the photothermal effect of a large number of nanobiohybrids in the tumor, initiating efficient PTT to achieve the removal of large solid tumors (≥500 mm³). In the study, it was found that the photothermal effect could also remove the physical barrier of a dense accumulation of tumor cells and fibrous stroma, promoting the spread of nanobiohybrids in tumors. This implied that other methods of hyperthermia, such as ultrasound and magnetic fields, were also helpful in enhancing the efficacy of bacteria in the treatment of tumors [48].

To conclude, nanobiohybrids combining therapeutic nanoparticles with bacteria provides a new approach to achieve the excellent anticancer effects of PTT.

Immunotherapy has been shown to be successful in a variety of tumors, but decreased effectiveness still persists because T cells were inactivated by a process called anergy or tolerance [49]. To further enhance the effects of immunotherapy, Chen et al. proposed the triple-combination therapy of PTT nanomaterials, *Salmonella* and PD-1 blockade [50]. Firstly, PTT induced cell lysis and released large amounts of tumor-associated antigens that stimulated a strong antigen-specific immune response. At the same time, *Salmonella* and PD-1 blockade reversed the immunosuppression and increased the number of T cells, NK cells and dendritic cells to induce a strong immune response.

**Nanocatalytic therapy**

Catalysis and medicine have long been thought of as two separate research fields, and advances in nanotechnology are driving progress in both fields. Many nanocatalysts, including nanozymes and inorganic nanocatalysts are widely used in the industry. In recent years, some nanocatalysts, such as TiO₂, MnO₂ and Fe₃O₄ NPs, have been successfully used in the treatment of tumors. The rapid development of the application of nanocatalysts in biomedicine has given rise to the concept of nanocatalytic therapy, which is expected to promote the further development of nanomedicine in cancer therapy. The catalytic reaction in the tumor region changes the tumor microenvironment and produces therapeutic substances, so as to realize the effective treatment of the tumor. Compared with conventional chemotherapy, nanocatalytic therapy possesses the advantages of high efficiency and specificity, which is expected to provide new ideas for cancer treatment.

In addition to improving the targeted delivery of NPs, bacteria can also enhance the therapeutic effect of nanocatalytic therapy by increasing the substrate content required for catalytic reactions. Bacteria are genetically engineered to express functional genes and become mobile bioreactors. The genetic elements of bacteria can be modified by the tools of synthetic biology to realize the artificial control of the synthesis...
of specific reaction substrates in the bioreactor, which can solve the limitation of substrate concentration in nanocatalytic therapy. Recently, Fenton-like reactions have been used in antitumor therapy, where hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) can be converted into highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (•OH) under the action of a metal catalyst [51]. As a kind of reactive oxygen species (ROS), •OH causes serious oxidative damage to tumor cells. Fan et al. proposed a nanobiohybrid system for tumor treatment via Fenton-like reactions [52]. After genetic engineering, $E. coli$ with overexpression of respiratory chain enzyme II ((NDH-II) can produce large amounts of H$_2$O$_2$. Magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were modified onto the surface of $E. coli$ to catalyze Fenton-like reactions to produce a large amount of •OH, which could induce tumoral cell death. The chemical products produced by the genetically engineered bacteria provide reagents for nanoparticle-mediated anti-tumor response, which avoids the limitations of intratumoral reagent dose.

In addition to enhancement of the nanoparticle-mediated anti-tumor response, the nanobiohybrids can also be constructed based on the inherent biological reactions of bacteria. Some bacteria could naturally metabolize nontoxic compounds into anti-tumor drugs by consuming electrons. Based on this principle, Zheng et al. designed a nanobiohybrid system to treat tumors through bacterial metabolic responses [53]. When irradiated by light, carbon nitride ($C_3N_4$) modified on $E. coli$ excites a large number of photoelectrons. Photoelectrons are transferred to nitric oxide (NO) enzyme-expressing $E. coli$. Subsequently, NO$_3^-$ may be enzymatically reduced to NO for cancer therapy. Combined with the characteristics of photo-responsive nanomaterials and physiological metabolism of bacteria, this study realized the control of bacterial NO generation by light irradiation. This controllable strategy suggests the therapeutic possibility that nanomaterials could be combined with the biochemical reactions of biological carriers.

Therefore, the nanobiohybrid system based on genetically engineered bacteria and nanocatalysts could not only improve the targeted transport of nanocatalysts in tumors, but also served as a bioreactor to produce specific products which provide a rich substrate for nanocatalytic reactions. The nanobiohybrid system simultaneously satisfied the basic elements of nanocatalytic therapy (nanocatalysts and reactive substrates), and provided a new targeted therapy carrier for tumoral nanocatalytic therapy (Figure 4).

---

**Figure 4** Engineered bacteria combined with nanomaterial for nanocatalytic therapy. (A) The nanocatalysts are connected to the surface of engineered bacteria to construct a nanobiohybrid system for nanocatalytic therapy. (B) After nanobiohybrid systems are intravenously injected into the tumor-bearing mice, the bacteria can carry nanocatalysts and concentrate in tumor areas. (C) Bacteria can express and synthesize reactive substrates of nanocatalytic reaction. The in-situ nanocatalytic therapy was realized by simultaneously increasing the content of nanocatalysts and reactive substrates in the tumor.
Challenges

Cancer is difficult to treat in part because hypovascular areas render limited access to functional nanomaterials and drug-loaded NPs. The key challenges such as limited tumor aggregation of nanomaterials remain unresolved. Bacteria have the advantages of active targeting of the tumor microenvironment, preferential growth and self-driven transport. The advantages of both bacteria and nanomaterials are complementary. The combination of bacteria with NPs could reach the core of solid tumors or metastases and could effectively kill cancer cells. In addition, genetically engineered bacteria could be used as bioreactors to specifically produce substrates needed for nanocatalytic therapy, such as H$_2$O$_2$. Therefore, the nanobiohybrid system integrated by bacteria and nanomaterials could achieve effective tumor therapy by improving tumor targeting of functional nanoparticles and enhancing the efficiency of nanocatalytic reactions. Although significant progress has been made in preclinical studies, several obstacles remain to be addressed to facilitate the further advancements of nanobiohybrid systems in tumor therapy.

1. It is important to note that the shape of nanomaterials is an important design parameter for nanobiohybrid systems, which has an important impact on bacterial transport efficiency. Most nanomaterials are spherical particles, but spherical particles were more likely to be absorbed by macrophages, and the attachment of spherical particles would lead to the asymmetry and rotation of bacterial movement. The bacteria carrying non-spherical particles have a stronger swimming directivity. NPs in the shape of cubes, bars and disks are more likely to be endocytosed by cancer cells, facilitating drug delivery in vivo. In addition to the shape of nanomaterials, the volume and quantity of nanomaterials also affected the movement of bacteria. Generally, the smaller the number and load of nanomaterials, the less influence they have on the swimming speed of bacteria, which could improve the efficiency of bacterial-mediated drug delivery. However, considering the pathogenicity of most bacteria, the practical approach is often to increase the amount of loaded nanomaterials and minimize the number of bacterial carriers.

2. The interaction between bacteria and nanomaterials has an important effect on the performance of nanobiohybrid systems. Therefore, it is necessary to adopt different loading strategies according to the types of nanomaterials to optimize the performance of the nanobiohybrid systems, where the simplest way is through electrostatic adsorption. Most bacteria have negative charges on their surfaces, and cationic nanopolymers could be adsorbed directly to the bacterial surface by electrostatic attraction. In addition, there are other attachment methods, including physical attachment (such as hydrophobic interaction), streptavidin-biotin bridging or antibody-antigen specific attachment. Notably, the attachment of NPs to bacterial surfaces may affect bacterial chemoreceptors in response to the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, biological/abiotic interfaces need to be carefully designed to maintain the chemotaxis and mobility of bacteria.

3. In order to further improve the specificity and effectiveness of the nanobiohybrid systems, the release of nanomaterials should be stimulated by endogenous or exogenous stimuli when the nanomaterials are carried by bacteria to the tumor region. Low pH, high glutathione and other characteristics of the tumor microenvironment are often used as endogenous stimulatory conditions for cargo release. Xie et al. connected the drug molecules to the E. coli Nissle 1917 via the unstable cis-aconitic anhydride ligand. After intravenous injection of the bacterial microrobot for 3 hours and 3 days, the molecular drug accumulations were 12.9% and 6.4%, respectively. Through the endogenous stimulation of the tumor microenvironment, the specific release of cargo on bacteria was promoted, and the spatiotemporal control of drug action was enhanced. However, due to the heterogeneity of tumors and the complexity of physiological conditions in vivo, it may lead to the imprecise release of nanoparticles. The use of exogenous stimuli to trigger the release of nanoparticles may be a more effective controlled-release strategy.

4. Many challenges remain before bacteria can be used in the clinical setting. For example, bacteria possesses immunogenicity, and the control of bacterial toxicity will be the key to ensure safety. Although a variety of bacteria have been shown to be non-pathogenic in animal and human experiments, the possible toxicity may threaten immunocompromised patients with advanced cancer. This problem can be solved by knocking out bacterial virulence genes using synthetic biological techniques. The safety of bacteria could also be improved by coating with highly biocompatible nanomaterials, which prevents bacteria from being quickly cleared by the immune system. The therapeutic or functional proteins produced by bacteria also needs to be further optimized by regulating the gene copy number and promoter strength. Furthermore, genetic instability is a potential problem. Plasmid-carrying bacteria are at risk of gene transfer and plasmid mutation. Genetic stability could be improved by integrating the target gene sequence into the bacterial chromosome.

5. Another challenge is to precisely control the process of bacterial-assisted nanocatalytic therapy in vivo. Due to the complexity of the biological environment in vivo, it is necessary to develop feasible methods to control the nanocatalytic therapy process, inhibit adverse catalytic reactions and prevent harm to normal tissue. Synthetic biology and genetic engineering allow us to design customized bacterial cells to produce substrates of catalytic reactions in a controlled manner.

Perspective and future outlook

The concentration of local tumoral therapeutic agents such as drugs, photosensitizers and immune adjuvants has a direct effect on the therapeutic effect against tumors, and...
it is necessary to develop targeted drug delivery systems. Nanomaterials display great potential as targeted delivery carriers for tumor therapy. Additionally, bacteria represented a promising approach for targeted tumor therapy. Due to their tumor selectivity and huge gene packaging capacity, bacteria are not only ideal carriers for transporting therapeutic agents, but can also be engineered using synthetic biological techniques to perform more complex tasks in the anti-tumor process, such as synthesizing specific products to enhance nanocatalytic therapy. The structural diversity of the nanomaterials endows the bacteria with new properties to use a variety of therapeutic modes against tumors. The strategy of combining functional nanomaterials with bacterial biological systems provides a more targeted and efficient nanobioblock system for drug delivery. Since the ultimate goal is clinical application, a large number of clinical trials are still required to evaluate bacteria and nanoparticles, and to conduct a comprehensive investigation of the pharmacokinetics, biological distribution, metabolism, and safety of the nanobioblock system. Therefore, we recommend that more clinical trials be designed in the future to approve the anticancer properties of the bacterial-based nanobioblock system.
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